arXiv:0803.1788v1 [math.AP] 12 Mar 2008

The finite time blow-up for the Euler-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Dongho Chae
Department of Mathematics
Sungkyunkwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea
e-mail : chae@skku.edu

Abstract

We prove the finite time blow-up for C^1 solutions to the Euler-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, with/without background density for initial data satisfying suitable conditions. We also find a sufficient condition for the initial data such that C^3 solution breaks down in finite time for the compressible Euler equations for polytropic gas flows.

AMS subject classification: 35Q35, 35B30
Key Words: Euler-Poisson equations, finite time blow-up, polytropic flow

1 The Euler-Poisson equations

We are concerned on the following (pressureless) Euler-Poisson equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) = -k\rho\nabla\phi, \\ \Delta\phi = \rho, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \ \rho(x,0) = \rho_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The unknowns are the velocity field $v = (v^1, \cdots, v^n) = v(x,t)$, mass density $\rho = \rho(x,t) \geq 0$, and the potential function of the force field $\phi = \phi(x,t)$. We

consider the case $k > 0$, which represents that the force is attractive. Physically the system (1.1) represents the large scale dynamics stars or cluster of stars, regarded as particles consisting of a gas, interacting through gravitation. The global regularity/finite time blow-up problem from the view point of the 'critical threshold phenomena' have been studied extensively by Tadmor and his collaborators for the one dimensional Euler-Poisson equation (with/without pressure term) (see e.g. [3, 4, 12] and references therein). In the multi-dimensional problem, the finite time blow-up for the attractive force case with pressure in the spherical symmetry for compactly supported $\rho(x,t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ was obtained in [7], and for the repulsive case with similar geometry the blow-up was deduced in [10]. On the other hand, the global regularity for some large class of initial data near a steady state is obtained in [5]. In [9] the stability type of result was obtained with inclusion of the pressure. As for the study for the equations (1.1) in the general setting, which is our main concern in this paper, the main difficulty was the forcing term $k\nabla\phi$, which is of nonlocal nature, and resembles the notorious pressure term in the 3D incompressible Euler equations. This feature was emphasized in [8], and was the main motivation for studying a model problem, called 'restricted Euler-Poisson equations', where the nonlocal forcing term is replaced by a local one. The finite time blow-up for the restricted Euler equations was shown via spectral dynamics in [8]. Our aim in this paper is to show that the finite time blow-up is unavoidable for the original Euler-Poisson equations under suitable conditions for the initial data of large class. At least for our blow-up problem the nonlocality can be bypassed simply by decomposing the evolution equations of the velocity gradient matrix into the symmetric part(deformation tensor equation) and the antisymmetric art(vorticity equation), and observing that the vanishing property of the vorticity is preserved along the particle trajectories, concentrating on the evolution of the density, not the velocity gradients. Let us define the vorticity matrix $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ for the n dimensional vector field $v = (v^1, \cdots, v^n)$ as $\Omega_{ij} := \partial_i v^j - \partial_j v^i$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$. In the 1-D case we set $\Omega \equiv 0$. We state our main theorem for the system (1.1).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 1$ in the Euler-Poisson equations (1.1). We suppose the initial data (v_0, ρ_0) satisfies the following condition,*

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \Omega_0(a) = 0,\ -\mathrm{div}\, v_0(a) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2k\rho_0(a)}{3}} > 0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, the C^1-regularity of local classical solution with initial data v_0, ρ_0 cannot persist arbitrarily long time.

Remark 1.1 We observe that in the 1-D case and in the n-D spherically symmetric case the vorticity vanishing condition, $\Omega_0(a) = 0$ is redundant.

Remark 1.2 As will be seen on the proof in the next section the proof does not depend on the specific domain, and the same results hold true for the bounded domain with smooth boundary or the periodic domain.

Next, we consider the following Euler-Poisson equations with constant background density, $\bar{\rho}$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) = -k\rho\nabla\phi, \\ \Delta\phi = \rho - \bar{\rho}, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \ \ \rho(x,0) = \rho_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The inclusion of constant density in the Poisson part of the equation has more physically realistic meaning in many cases. For example, if we consider the above system in the periodic unit box $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$, then the natural choice of $\bar{\rho}$ is

$$\bar{\rho} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \rho(x,t)dx,$$

which is constant in time thanks to the first equation of (1.2).

Theorem 1.2 *Let $n \geq 1$ in the Euler-Poisson equations (1.2). We suppose the initial data (v_0, ρ_0) satisfies the following condition,*

$$\mathcal{S}_2 := \{\textit{the set of all points } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \textit{ satisfying (1.3)-(1.4) below}\} \neq \emptyset$$

where

$$\Omega_0(a) = 0, \ \ \operatorname{div} v_0(a) < 0, \ \ \rho_0(a) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\bar{\rho}, \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$(\operatorname{div} v_0(a))^2 > \max\left\{ \frac{k(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})\left[4(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})^2 - 3\bar{\rho}^2\right]}{6\rho_0(a)^2}, \ \frac{3k\bar{\rho}^4}{4\rho_0^2(a)(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})} \right\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then, the C^1-regularity of local classical solution with initial data v_0, ρ_0 cannot persist arbitrarily long time.

2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We write the first two equations of (1.1) in a more convenient form,

$$\partial_t \rho + (v \cdot \nabla)\rho = -\rho \operatorname{div} v, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla)v = -k\nabla\phi \tag{2.2}$$

respectively. Taking a partial derivative of (2.2), we obtain the matrix equation,

$$\partial_t V + (v \cdot \nabla)V + V^2 = -k\Phi, \tag{2.3}$$

where we set $V = (\partial_i v^j)$, and $\Phi = (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)$. The symmetric and the antisymmetric parts of (2.3) are

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{D}^2 - A^2 - k\Phi, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\frac{D}{Dt}A = -\mathcal{D}A - A\mathcal{D} \tag{2.5}$$

respectively, where we set $\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{2}(V + V^T)$, $A = \frac{1}{2}(V - V^T)(= \frac{1}{2}\Omega)$, and denoted $\frac{D}{Dt} = \partial_t + (v \cdot \nabla)$. We consider evolution along the particle trajectory $\{X(a,t)\}$, defined by a solution of the following ordinary differential equations,

$$\frac{\partial X(a,t)}{\partial t} = v(X(a,t),t),\ X(a,0) = a \in \mathcal{S}_1,$$

where $v(x,t)$ is a classical solution of the system (1.1). From the equation (2.5) we have immediately

$$\frac{D}{Dt}|A| \le 2|\mathcal{D}||A|,$$

where we used the matrix norm, $|M| := \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{ij}^2}$. By Gronwall's lemma we obtain

$$|A(X(a,t),t)| \le |A_0(a)| \exp\left[2\int_0^t |\mathcal{D}(X(a,\tau),\tau)|d\tau\right]. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $A_0(a) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0(a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{S}_1$, we have $A(X(a,t),t) = 0$ along the particle trajectory $\{X(a,t)\}$. Hence, taking trace of (2.4), we find

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(Tr(S)) = -|\mathcal{D}|^2 - k\Delta\phi$$

along the trajectories $\{X(a,t)\}$, which taking into account of the fact $\operatorname{div} v = Tr(\mathcal{D})$ and the Poisson part of (1.1), leads to

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(\operatorname{div} v) = -|\mathcal{D}|^2 - k\rho. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.1), using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{D^2\rho}{Dt^2} &= -\frac{D}{Dt}(\rho \operatorname{div} v) = -\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right)\operatorname{div} v - \rho\frac{D}{Dt}(\operatorname{div} v) \\
&= \rho(\operatorname{div} v)^2 + \rho|\mathcal{D}|^2 + k\rho^2 \\
&\ge k\rho^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Integrating this inequality along the particle trajectory once, we have

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt}(X(a,t),t) \geq \left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right)(t=0) + k\int_0^t \rho^2(X(a,s),s)ds, \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt}(X(a,t),t) \geq 0, \tag{2.10}$$

if

$$\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right)(t=0) = -\rho_0(a)\,\mathrm{div}\, v_0(a) \geq 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Note that this is the case for $a \in \mathrm{S}_1$. Hence, multiplying (2.8) by $\frac{D\rho}{Dt}$ without changing direction of the inequality, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right)^2 \geq \frac{k}{3}\frac{D\rho^3}{Dt}, \tag{2.12}$$

and integrating this along the particle trajectory again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right)^2 &\geq \frac{2k}{3}\rho^3 + \left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}(t=0)\right)^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\rho_0^3(a)\\ &\geq \frac{k}{3}\rho^3,\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

if

$$\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}(t=0)\right)^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\rho_0^3(a) = \rho_0^2(a)(\mathrm{div}\, v_0(a))^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\rho_0^3(a) \geq 0, \tag{2.14}$$

which satisfied in our case $a \in \mathcal{S}_1$. The reduced inequality

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} \geq \sqrt{\frac{k}{3}}\rho^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

from (2.13) can be immediately solved to yield

$$\rho(X(a,t),t) \geq \frac{\rho_0(a)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{k\rho_0(a)}{12}}t\right)^2}, \tag{2.15}$$

which shows that $\rho(X(a,t),t)$ blows-up at a time no latter than $t_* = \sqrt{\frac{12}{k\rho_0(a)}}$, if the C^1 solution $(v(x,t),\rho(x,t))$ persists until that time. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 In this case the proof is the same as that of Theorem 1.1 up to the parts before (2.8). Here, instead of (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D^2\rho}{Dt^2} &= -\frac{D}{Dt}(\rho \operatorname{div} v) = -\left(\frac{D\rho}{Dt}\right) \operatorname{div} v - \rho\frac{D}{Dt}(\operatorname{div} v) \\ &= \rho(\operatorname{div} v)^2 + \rho|\mathcal{D}|^2 + k\rho(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \\ &\geq k\rho(\rho-\bar{\rho}) = k\left(\rho - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Setting

$$\theta = \rho - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2},$$

we find that

$$\frac{D^2\theta}{Dt^2} = k\theta^2 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{4}. \tag{2.17}$$

Integrating this inequality along the particle trajectory once, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D\theta}{Dt}(X(a,t),t) &\geq \left(\frac{D\theta}{Dt}\right)(t=0) - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2 t}{4} + k\int_0^t \theta^2(X(a,s),s)ds \\ &= -\rho_0(a)\operatorname{div} v_0(a) - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2 t}{4} + k\int_0^t \theta^2(X(a,s),s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{D\theta}{Dt}(X(a,t),t) > 0, \tag{2.19}$$

if

$$\operatorname{div} v_0(a) < 0, \text{ and } 0 < t \leq -\frac{4\rho_0(a)\operatorname{div} v_0(a)}{k\bar{\rho}^2}. \tag{2.20}$$

Note that for $a \in \mathcal{S}_2$ the first condition is satisfied, and we will concentrated on the time interval $[0,t]$ defined by the second inequality in (2.20). Hence, multiplying (2.17) by $\frac{D\theta}{Dt}$ without changing direction of the inequality, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{D\theta}{Dt}\right)^2 \geq \frac{k}{3}\frac{D\theta^3}{Dt} - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{4}\frac{D\theta}{Dt}, \tag{2.21}$$

and integrating along the particle trajectory again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{D\theta}{Dt}\right)^2 &\geq \frac{2k}{3}\theta^3 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta + \left(\frac{D\theta}{Dt}(t=0)\right)^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\theta_0^3(a) + \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta_0(a) \\ &= \frac{2k}{3}\theta^3 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta + \rho_0^2(a)(\operatorname{div} v_0(a))^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\theta_0^3(a) + \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta_0(a) \\ &\geq \frac{2k}{3}\theta^3 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta = \frac{k}{3}\theta^3 + \left(\frac{k}{3}\theta^3 - \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta\right) \\ &\geq \frac{k}{3}\theta^3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

if

$$\rho_0^2(a)(\operatorname{div} v_0(a))^2 - \frac{2k}{3}\theta_0^3(a) + \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta_0(a) \geq 0, \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\frac{k\theta_0(a)^3}{3} \geq \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta_0(a). \tag{2.24}$$

Indeed, from (2.19), we see that (2.24) implies that

$$\frac{k\theta^3(X(a,t),t)}{3} \geq \frac{k\bar{\rho}^2}{2}\theta(X(a,t),t)$$

as long as the classical solution is well-defined. The conditions (2.23) and (2.24) are satisfied, in turn, if

$$(\operatorname{div} v_0(a))^2 \geq \frac{k(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})\left[4(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})^2 - 3\bar{\rho}^2\right]}{6\rho_0(a)^2}, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\rho_0(a) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\bar{\rho} \tag{2.26}$$

respectively, which follows from the fact $a \in \mathcal{S}_2$. The inequality

$$\frac{D\theta}{Dt} \geq \sqrt{\frac{k}{3}}\theta^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

can be solved to yield

$$\theta(X(a,t),t) \geq \frac{\theta_0(a)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{k\theta_0(a)}{12}}t\right)^2}, \tag{2.27}$$

which shows that $\rho(X(a,t),t) = \theta(X(a,t),t) + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}$ blows-up at a time no latter than $t_* = \sqrt{\frac{12}{k\theta_0(a)}}$, if the C^1 solution $(v(x,t), \rho(x,t))$ persists until that time. Comparing this with the second inequality of (2.20), in order to get the finite time singularity, it suffices to have

$$-\frac{4\rho_0(a)\operatorname{div} v_0(a)}{k\bar{\rho}^2} > \sqrt{\frac{12}{k\theta_0(a)}} = t_*,$$

which is true, if

$$(\operatorname{div} v_0(a))^2 > \frac{3k\bar{\rho}^4}{4\rho_0^2(a)(\rho_0(a) - \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2})}. \tag{2.28}$$

Both of the conditions (2.25) and (2.28) are satisfied for $a \in \mathcal{S}_2$. $\square$

3 Remarks on the Polytropic Euler equations

In this section we show that a similar argument as in the above section can be used to show a finite time blow-up result for the following Euler equations for polytropic gas flows in a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v) = -\nabla p, \\ \partial_t S + (v \cdot \nabla) S = 0, \\ p = p(\rho, S) = \rho^\gamma e^S, \quad (\gamma > 1) \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x),\ \rho(x,0) = \rho_0(x),\ S(x,0) = S_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

In the above $p = p(\rho, S)$ is the pressure, and S is the entrophy. For both of the physical and mathematical backgrounds of the system (3.1) including the local well-posedness of classical solutions we refer the monograph [6] and the review articles [1, 2]. For the blow-up problem of the system (3.1) for $n = 3$, in particular, there is already well-known result due to Sideris in [11](see also [1, 2] and the references therein for the other related results on this problem). In this section we just would like to show another aspect of the blow-up problem of the Euler system, represented in Theorem 3.1 below. As in the previous section we rewrite the system (3.1) in a more convenient form,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + (v \cdot \nabla)\rho = -\rho \operatorname{div} v, \\ \partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla) v = -\nabla p, \quad p = e^S \rho^\gamma \\ \partial_t S + (v \cdot \nabla) S = 0, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x),\ \rho(x,0) = \rho_0(x),\ S(x,0) = S_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

We use the matrix notations, $\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i v^j + \partial_j v^i)$, $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i v^j - \partial_j v^i)$, and $P_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j p$ as previously. We also denote $\{(\lambda_k(x,t), e_k(x,t))\}$ for the pairs of eigenvalue and the normalized eigenvector of the deformation tensor $\mathcal{D}$. In this section we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\gamma \geq 2$ be given. We suppose the initial data (v_0, ρ_0, S_0) for (3.2) satisfies the following condition,*

$$\mathcal{S}_3 := \{\textit{the set of all points } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \textit{ satisfying (3.3)-(3.5) below}\} \neq \emptyset$$

where

$$\Omega_0(a) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$D^k S_0(a) = 0 \,\forall\, k \in \{1,2\} \textit{ and } D^m \rho_0(a) = 0 \,\forall\, m \in \{0,1,2\}, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\exists j \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \textit{ such that } \lambda_j(a,0) < 0 \tag{3.5}$$

Then, the C^3-regularity of solution to the system (3.2) cannot persist arbitrary long time.

Proof Taking the first and the second partial derivatives of the first equation of (3.2), we find that

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\partial_j\rho = -(\partial_j v\cdot\nabla)\rho - \partial_j\rho\,\mathrm{div}\,v - \rho\mathrm{div}\,\partial_j v, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D}{Dt}\partial_j\partial_k\rho &= -(\partial_j\partial_k v\cdot\nabla)\rho - (\partial_j v\cdot\nabla)\partial_k\rho - (\partial_k v\cdot\nabla)\partial_j\rho - \partial_j\partial_k\rho\,\mathrm{div}\,v\\ &\quad -\partial_j\rho\,\mathrm{div}\,\partial_k v - \rho\,\mathrm{div}\,\partial_j\partial_k v - \partial_k\rho\,\mathrm{div}\,\partial_j v\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

respectively. Combining (3.6) and (3.7) with the first equation of (3.2), we find that

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(\rho + |D\rho| + |D^2\rho|) \le 2(|Dv| + |D^2 v| + |D^3 v|)(\rho + |D\rho| + |D^2\rho|),$$

which, after integration along the particle trajectories, provides us with

$$\begin{aligned}&(\rho + |D\rho| + |D^2\rho|)(X(a,t),t) \le\\ &\quad\le (\rho_0 + |D\rho_0| + |D^2\rho_0|)(a)\exp\left[2\int_0^t (|Dv| + |D^2 v| + |D^3 v|)(X(a,s),s)ds\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly, from the third equation of (3.2) we obtain the estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}&(|DS| + |D^2 S|)(X(a,t),t) \le\\ &\quad\le (|DS_0| + |D^2 S_0|)(a)\exp\left[2\int_0^t (|Dv| + |D^2 v|)(X(a,s),s)ds\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The symmetric and the antisymmetric parts of the velocity gradient matrix equations, obtained from the second equation of (3.2) are

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{D}^2 - A^2 - P, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\frac{D}{Dt}A = -\mathcal{D}A - A\mathcal{D} \tag{3.11}$$

respectively. In particular, from (3.11) we obtain easily that

$$|A(X(a,t),t)| \le |A_0(a)|\exp\left[2\int_0^t |Dv(X(a,s),s)|ds\right]. \tag{3.12}$$

We observe the pointwise estimate for the Hessian of the pressure,

$$|P| \le Ce^S(|DS| + |D^2 S|)(\rho + |D\rho| + |D^2\rho|), \tag{3.13}$$

where $C = C(\rho)$, and $\limsup_{\rho \to 0} |C(\rho)| < \infty$ thanks to the assumption $\gamma \geq 2$. The estimates (3.8), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.13) shows that

$$A(X(a,t),t) = P(X(a,t),t) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

if $a \in \mathcal{S}_3$, which we suppose from now on. Hence, along the particle trajectories $\{X(a,t)\}$, the equation (3.10) reduces to

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{D}^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Let (λ_j, e_j) be an eigenvalue-normalized eigenvector pair of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\lambda_j(a,0) < 0$. Then, taking operation $\frac{D}{Dt}$ on $\mathcal{D}e_j = \lambda_j e_j$, we have

$$\left(\frac{D}{Dt}\mathcal{D}\right) e_j + \mathcal{D}\frac{De_j}{Dt} = \frac{D\lambda_j}{Dt}e_j + \lambda_j \frac{De_j}{Dt}.$$

Using (3.15), this reduces to

$$-\lambda_j^2 e_j + \mathcal{D}\frac{De_j}{Dt} = \frac{D\lambda_j}{Dt}e_j + \lambda_j \frac{De_j}{Dt}. \tag{3.16}$$

Multiplying e_j on the both sides of (3.16), we find

$$\frac{D\lambda_j}{Dt} = -\lambda_j^2, \tag{3.17}$$

which can be solved along the particle trajectories $\{X(a,t)\}$ to yield

$$\lambda_j(X(a,t),t) = \frac{\lambda_j(a,0)}{1 + \lambda_j(a,0)t},$$

and shows that

$$\lambda_j(X(a,t),t) \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to t_* = \frac{-1}{\lambda_j(a,0)}.$$

□

Acknowledgements

This research was done while the author was visiting University of Nice. The author would like to thank to Y. Brenier for his hospitality and for stimulating discussions with useful suggestions. He would like to thank also to E. Tadmor for informing him of the recent results on the subject with references. This research was supported partially by KRF Grant(MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund).

References

[1] G-Q Chen and D. Wang, *The Cauchy problem for the Euler equations for Compressible Fluids,* Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, **1**, (2002), Elsevier Science Ltd.

[2] D. Christodoulou, *The Euler equations of compressible fluid flow,* Bull. A.M.S., **44**, no. 4, (2007), pp. 581-602.

[3] S. Engelberg, *Formation of singularities in the Euler and Euler-Poisson equations*, Phys. D, **98**, no. 1, (1996), pp. 67–74.

[4] S. Engelberg, H. Liu and E. Tadmor, *Critical thresholds in Euler-Poisson equations,* Indiana Univ. Math. J., **50**, (2001), Special Issue, pp. 109–157.

[5] Y. Guo, *Smooth irrotational flows in the large to the Euler-Poisson system in* $\mathbf{R}^{3+1}$*,* Comm. Math. Phys. **195**, no. 2, (1998), pp. 249–265.

[6] A. Majda, *Compressible Fluid Flows and Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables,* Springer-Verlag, New York 1984.

[7] T. Makino and B. Perthame, *Sur les solutions à symétrie sphérique de l'éuation d'Euler-Poisson pour l'évolution d'étoiles gazeuses,* Japan J. Appl. Math., **7**, (1990), pp. 165-170.

[8] H. Liu and E. Tadmor, *Spectral dynamics of the velocity gradient field in restricted flows,* Comm. Math. Phys. **228**, no. 3, (2002), pp. 435–466.

[9] T. Luo and J. Smoller,*Rotating Fluids with Self-Gravitation in Bounded Domains,* Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal., **173**, (2004), pp. 345–377.

[10] B. Perthame, *Nonexistence of global solutions to Euler-Poisson equations for repulsive forces,* Japan J. Appl. Math. **7**, no. 2, (1990), pp. 363–367.

[11] T. C. Sideris, *Formation of singularities in compressible fluids,* Comm. Math. Phys., **101**, (1985), pp. 475-485.

[12] E. Tadmor and D. Wei, *On the global regularity of sub-critical Euler-Poisson equations with pressure,* J. European Math. Society, to appear.